

As discussed with counsel for the parties in the recent telephone conference, the undersigned finds that the goals of efficient case management and proportional discovery in this matter are best served if the pending subpoenas (Document No. 17-1 and Document No. 22-1) issued to Crump Engineering Works, PLLC and William F. Nunn Co., Inc. are quashed. See Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(b)(1), (4) and (5)(c). In short, the undersigned finds in this instance that the parties

should exchange some initial written discovery and expert reports, consistent with the “Pretrial Order And Case Management Plan” (Document No. 16), prior to Plaintiff seeking information from the non-parties named in the subpoenas at issue.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that “Defendant’s Motion To Quash And Motion For Protective Order” (Document No. 17) and “Defendant’s Motion To Quash And Motion For Protective Order Regarding Subpoena Issued To William F. Nunn Co., Inc.” (Document No. 22) are **GRANTED** as directed herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that “Plaintiff’s Motion To Strike Affidavits Of Kenneth T. Crump And Ken Morscheiser” (Document No. 24) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: November 1, 2016



David C. Keesler
United States Magistrate Judge

